

THE GENERATING HYPOTHESIS IN THE DERIVED CATEGORY OF A RING

MARK HOVEY, KEIR LOCKRIDGE, AND GENA PUNINSKI

ABSTRACT. We show that a strong form (the fully faithful version) of the generating hypothesis, introduced by Freyd in algebraic topology, holds in the derived category of a ring R if and only if R is von Neumann regular. This extends results of the second author [Loc05]. We also characterize rings for which the original form (the faithful version) of the generating hypothesis holds in the derived category of R . These must be close to von Neumann regular in a precise sense, and, given any of a number of finiteness hypotheses, must be von Neumann regular. However, we construct an example of such a ring that is not von Neumann regular, and therefore does not satisfy the strong form of the generating hypothesis.

INTRODUCTION

The generating hypothesis was introduced by Peter Freyd [Fre66] in algebraic topology, where it is the assertion that any map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite spectra that is 0 on stable homotopy groups is in fact null homotopic. The generating hypothesis is widely considered to be one of the most important and difficult problems in stable homotopy theory. It has many implications for the structure of the stable homotopy ring $\pi_* S^0$ of the sphere, implying for example that it is totally non-coherent [Fre66] and that the p -completion $\pi_* S_p^0$ is a self-injective ring [Hov]. Somewhat surprisingly, Freyd proved that the generating hypothesis in fact implies that the map

$$[X, Y] \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\pi_* S^0}(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)$$

from maps of finite spectra to maps of their stable homotopy modules is not only injective but also surjective. That is, the generating hypothesis implies that the stable homotopy functor is fully faithful on finite spectra.

One approach to understanding the generating hypothesis is to look at analogous questions in other categories. Following the second author [Loc05], we say that a ring R **satisfies the generating hypothesis** if whenever $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of perfect complexes in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(R)$ of R and $H_* f = 0$, then $f = 0$. Recall that a perfect complex is a bounded chain complex of finitely generated projective (right) modules, and that $f = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ exactly when f is chain homotopic to 0 (for maps of perfect complexes). Perfect complexes are the algebraic analogue of finite spectra, as they are the small objects in $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Thus R satisfies the generating hypothesis exactly when the homology functor is faithful on perfect complexes. Let us also say that R **satisfies the strong generating hypothesis** if the homology functor is fully faithful on perfect complexes.

The second author noticed [Loc05, Section 4] that the homology functor is faithful on all of $\mathcal{D}(R)$ if and only if all right R -modules are projective; that is, if and

Date: February 2, 2008.

only if R is semisimple. Since perfect complexes are the small objects of $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and finitely presented modules are the small R -modules, it is natural to conjecture (as the second author did in [Loc05]) that the homology functor is faithful on perfect complexes (that is, R satisfies the generating hypothesis) if and only if all finitely presented right R -modules are projective; that is, if and only if R is von Neumann regular. The second author verified that all von Neumann regular rings do satisfy the generating hypothesis, and proved that if R satisfies the generating hypothesis and is either commutative or right coherent, then R is von Neumann regular [Loc05].

In this paper, we first prove that R satisfies the strong generating hypothesis if and only if R is von Neumann regular. We then consider the generating hypothesis, in effect asking whether the generating hypothesis implies the strong generating hypothesis. We prove that R satisfies the generating hypothesis if and only if all short exact sequences of finitely presented modules split, and all submodules of flat modules are flat. This makes R close to von Neumann regular, and in fact if R is local or satisfies one of several finiteness hypotheses it forces R to be von Neumann regular. However, we construct an example of a ring that satisfies the generating hypothesis but is not von Neumann regular. Over this ring, then, the homology functor is faithful on perfect complexes but not full.

The authors would like to thank Grigory Garkusha for many helpful discussions.

All R -modules M will be right R -modules in this paper, so that, for example, $\mathcal{D}(R)$ is the unbounded derived category of right R -modules. The differential d in a chain complex P will lower dimension, so that $d_n: P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$. We will denote $\ker d_n$ by $Z_n P$ and $\operatorname{im} d_n$ by $B_{n-1} P$. If M is an R -module, then $D^n(M)$ denotes the complex which is M in degree n and $n-1$ and 0 elsewhere, with d_n being the identity. $S^n M$ denotes the complex that is M in degree n and 0 elsewhere.

1. THE STRONG GENERATING HYPOTHESIS

We begin by recalling the second author's characterization of semisimple rings.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose P is a perfect complex of R -modules with both $B_n P$ and $H_n P$ projective for all n . Then $P \cong \bigoplus_n S^n(H_n P)$ in $\mathcal{D}(R)$. In this case, the natural map $[P, Q] \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_* P, H_* Q)$ is an isomorphism for all complexes Q .*

Proof. We have

$$P_n \cong Z_n P \oplus B_{n-1} P \cong B_n P \oplus H_n P \oplus B_{n-1} P.$$

From this it follows that $P \cong \bigoplus_n D^n(B_{n-1} P) \oplus S^n(H_n P)$, which is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_n S^n(H_n P)$ in $\mathcal{D}(R)$.

A chain map from $S^n H_n P$ to a complex Q is the same thing as a map $f: H_n P \rightarrow Z_n Q$, and such a map is chain homotopic to 0 exactly when there is a map $D: H_n P \rightarrow Q_{n+1}$ such that $dD = f$. Since $H_n P$ is projective, f is chain homotopic to 0 if and only if f lands in $B_n Q$. Using projectivity of $H_n P$ again, we conclude that $[S^n H_n P, Q] \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_n P, H_n Q)$. \square

Proposition 1.2. *A ring R is semisimple if and only if the homology functor is faithful on $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Furthermore, in this case, the homology functor is in fact fully faithful on $\mathcal{D}(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose the homology functor is faithful in $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Take two R -modules M and N , and take a projective resolution P_* of M . Then an element of $\operatorname{Ext}^s(M, N)$

is represented by a map from P_* to N , thought as a complex concentrated in degree s . This map is necessarily 0 in homology when $s > 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}^s(M, N) = 0$ for all $s > 0$ and all M, N , so every R -module is projective and R is semisimple.

On the other hand, if R is semisimple, then Lemma 1.1 implies that homology is fully faithful. \square

The analogue for the generating hypothesis is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *A ring R satisfies the strong generating hypothesis if and only if R is von Neumann regular. In this case, the natural map*

$$[P, Q] \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_*P, H_*Q)$$

is an isomorphism for all perfect complexes P and arbitrary complexes Q .

Recall that R is von Neumann regular if and only if, for every $x \in R$, there is a $y \in R$ with $x = xyx$. The standard reference for von Neumann regular rings is [Goo91]; the book [Lam99] takes an approach based on module categories, so contains some different and useful results about von Neumann regular rings. A standard characterization is that R is von Neumann regular if and only if all R -modules are flat, which is true if and only if all finitely presented R -modules are projective.

Proof. Suppose R satisfies the strong generating hypothesis. Then,

$$\text{ann}_\ell \text{ann}_r(Rx) = Rx$$

for all $x \in R$, by [Loc05, Proposition 2.7]. Now take $x \in R$, and consider the perfect complex P with $P_i = R$ if $i = 0, 1$ and $P_i = 0$ otherwise, with the differential $P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ being left multiplication by x . This complex has $H_0(P) = R/xR$ and $H_1(P) = \text{ann}_r(x)$. By the strong generating hypothesis, there exists a chain map $\phi: P \rightarrow P$ such that $H_1(\phi) = 0$ and $H_0(\phi) = 1$, the identity of R/xR . Translating, this means there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that $xa = bx$ with $a \in \text{ann}_\ell \text{ann}_r(x)$ (so that $H_1(\phi) = 0$) and $b = 1 + xc$ for some $c \in R$ (so that $H_0(\phi) = 1$). But then $a = dx$ for some $d \in R$, so we have

$$xdx = xa = bx = (1 + xc)x = x + xc.$$

This means that $x = x(d - c)x$. Since x was arbitrary, R is von Neumann regular.

Conversely, suppose R is von Neumann regular, and P is a perfect complex. In a von Neumann regular ring, finitely generated submodules of projectives are projective [Lam99, p.44], so $B_n P$ is finitely generated projective for all n . Then $Z_n P$, as the kernel of the (necessarily split) surjection $P_n \rightarrow B_{n-1} P$, is also finitely generated projective for all n . Hence $H_n P$ is finitely presented, and so is projective for all n . Now Lemma 1.1 implies that homology is fully faithful on maps out of perfect complexes. \square

Recall from [Loc05] that if R is either commutative or right coherent and R satisfies the generating hypothesis, then R is von Neumann regular. Hence we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *If R is either commutative or coherent, then R satisfies the generating hypothesis if and only if R satisfies the strong generating hypothesis.*

The second author also investigated the generating hypothesis from the viewpoint of global stable homotopy theory. Using the results of [Loc05], we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. *A ring R satisfies the strong generating hypothesis if and only if, in $\mathcal{D}(R)$, the thick subcategory generated by R is the collection of retracts of finite coproducts of suspensions of R .*

Recall that a full subcategory of a triangulated category is called **thick** if it is closed under shifts, retracts, and cofibers; the thick subcategory generated by R consists of the perfect complexes. This corollary follows from [Loc05, Proposition 5.1], and indicates how different stable homotopy theory must be from the derived category of a ring if the generating hypothesis in stable homotopy is to be true, since there are many finite spectra that are not retracts of finite coproducts of suspensions of the sphere.

2. RINGS THAT SATISFY THE GENERATING HYPOTHESIS

Having dealt with the strong generating hypothesis, we now turn our attention to the generating hypothesis. The object of this section to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *A ring R satisfies the generating hypothesis if and only if R has weak global dimension at most 1 and all finitely presented R -modules are FP-injective.*

Weak global dimension at most 1 is of course equivalent to the statement that submodules of flat modules are flat. Recall that a module M is said to be **FP-injective** if $\text{Ext}^1(F, M) = 0$ for all finitely presented modules F ; thus all finitely presented modules are FP-injective if and only if all short exact sequences of finitely presented modules split. FP-injective modules seem to have been introduced in [Ste70]; a good guide to the literature can be found in [Fai99, Chapter 6]. An FP-injective module is sometimes called **absolutely pure**, because M is FP-injective if and only if every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

is pure (that is, remains exact upon tensoring with any left R -module). See [Lam99, Theorem 4.89(5)] for a proof of this equivalence.

To compare the rings of Theorem 2.1 with von Neumann regular rings, the following lemma is helpful.

Lemma 2.2. *A ring R is von Neumann regular if and only if every R -module is FP-injective.*

This lemma is well-known, but does not appear in [Goo91] or [Lam99], so we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. Suppose R is von Neumann regular, and M is an R -module. Choose a short exact sequence \mathcal{E}

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where I is injective. Since N is necessarily flat, this sequence is pure [Lam99, Theorem 4.85]. Hence, if F is finitely presented, $\text{Hom}(F, \mathcal{E})$ is still exact [Lam99, Theorem 4.89(5)], and so $\text{Ext}^1(F, M) = 0$ and M is FP-injective.

Conversely, if every module is FP-injective, another application of [Lam99, Theorem 4.89(5)] shows that every short exact sequence is pure. Then [Lam99, Theorem 4.85] shows that every module is flat, as required. \square

We now begin the proof of Theorem 2.1. Our first task is to characterize the homology groups of perfect complexes.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose R is a ring. An R -module M is a homology module of a perfect complex of R -modules if and only if there exists a finitely presented module F such that M embeds in F and the quotient F/M embeds in a projective module. Furthermore, in this case, there is a perfect complex P such that $P_n = 0$ unless $n = 0, 1, 2$ and $M = H_1P$.*

Proof. Suppose $M = H_nP$, where each P_i is a finitely generated projective module. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_n/B_nP \xrightarrow{d_n} B_{n-1}P \rightarrow 0,$$

P_n/B_nP is finitely presented and $B_{n-1}P$ embeds in the projective module P_{n-1} .

Conversely, suppose M embeds in the finitely presented module F and the quotient F/M embeds in the projective module P_0 , which we can assume is finitely generated since F is so. Choose a presentation

$$P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{p} F \rightarrow 0$$

of F , where P_1 and P_2 are finitely generated projectives. Define the map $d_1: P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ to be the composite

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{p} F \rightarrow F/M \rightarrow P_0.$$

This defines a three-term perfect chain complex P . Pulling back the presentation of F through the inclusion $M \rightarrow F$ shows that $H_1P \cong M$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose P is a perfect complex. Then each cycle module Z_nP is a homology module of some perfect complex.*

Proof. Note that Z_nP is a submodule of the finitely presented module P_n and the quotient P_n/Z_nP embeds in the projective module P_{n-1} . \square

We now take a significant step towards Theorem 2.1 by showing how FP-injective modules get involved.

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a ring, and let Q be an arbitrary object of $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Then the generating hypothesis with target Q is true in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ if and only if H_nQ is FP-injective for all n . In particular, R satisfies the generating hypothesis if and only if all homology modules of perfect complexes are FP-injective.*

The **generating hypothesis with target Q** is the statement that any map $f: P \rightarrow Q$ in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ where P is a perfect complex and $H_*f = 0$ has $f = 0$. So R satisfies the generating hypothesis if and only if R satisfies the generating hypothesis with target Q for all perfect complexes Q .

Note in particular that this theorem and Lemma 2.2 imply that R satisfies the generating hypothesis with target Q for all (not necessarily perfect) Q , if and only if R is von Neumann regular.

Proof. Suppose first that the generating hypothesis with target Q holds, and consider a finitely presented module F and an integer n . Choose a finite presentation

$$P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

of F , so that, by letting $P_i = 0$ for $i \neq n, n-1$, we get a perfect complex P_* with $H_{n-1}P_* = F$. To prove that

$$\text{Ext}^1(F, H_n Q_*) = 0,$$

it suffices to show that any map

$$f: P_n/Z_n P \rightarrow H_n Q_*$$

extends to a map $g: P_{n-1} \rightarrow H_n Q_*$ with $g\overline{d_n} = f$, where $\overline{d_n}$ is the map induced by d_n .

Since P_n is projective, there is a map $\phi_n: P_n \rightarrow Q_n$ such that the composite

$$P_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} Q_n \xrightarrow{q} Q_n/B_n Q$$

is the composite

$$P_n \xrightarrow{p} P_n/Z_n P \xrightarrow{f} H_n Q_* \xrightarrow{i} Q_n/B_n Q.$$

Now let $\phi_{n-1}: P_{n-1} \rightarrow Q_{n-1}$ be the zero map. Then $\phi: P_* \rightarrow Q_*$ is a chain map. Indeed, write $d_n: Q_n \rightarrow Q_{n-1}$ as $d_n = rq$. Then

$$d_n \phi_n = rq \phi_n = r i f p = 0$$

since $ri = 0$. Furthermore, ϕ induces the zero map on homology, because if $x \in Z_n P$, then $q \phi_n x = 0$, so $\phi_n x$ is a boundary.

If the generating hypothesis is true, then ϕ must be chain homotopic to 0. This gives us maps $D_{n-1}: P_{n-1} \rightarrow Q_n$ and $D_n: P_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}$ such that $d_n D_{n-1} = 0$ and $\overline{D_{n-1}} d_n + d_{n+1} D_n = \phi_n$. Since $d_n: Q_n \rightarrow Q_{n-1}$ factors through $Q_n/B_n Q$ as $d_n = \overline{d_n} q$, we conclude that $\overline{d_n} q D_{n-1} = 0$, so there exists a map $g: P_{n-1} \rightarrow H_n Q_*$ such that $ig = q D_{n-1}$. Of course, we claim that g is the desired extension. To see this, apply q to the relation

$$D_{n-1} d_n + d_{n+1} D_n = \phi_n$$

to get

$$q D_{n-1} d_n = i f p \text{ or } i g d_n = i f p.$$

Writing $d_n = \overline{d_n} p$ and using the fact that i is a monomorphism and p is an epimorphism, we conclude that $g \overline{d_n} = f$, as required.

Now suppose that every homology group of Q is FP-injective, and $\phi: P_* \rightarrow Q_*$ is a map of perfect complexes that induces 0 on homology. We will construct a chain homotopy $D_n: P_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}$ such that $d_{n+1} D_n + D_{n-1} d_n = \phi_n$ by induction on n . Our induction hypothesis will be that we have constructed D_i for $i \leq n-1$ and that $\phi_n - D_{n-1} d_n$, which is a map from P_n to Q_n , in fact lands in the boundaries $B_n Q$. Getting started is easy since P is bounded below. For the induction step, our hypothesis gives us the commutative square below,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{n+1}/Z_{n+1} P & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi_{n+1}}} & Q_{n+1}/B_{n+1} Q \\ d_{n+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{n+1} \\ P_n & \xrightarrow{\phi_n - D_{n-1} d_n} & B_n Q \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\phi_{n+1}}$ exists because ϕ is zero on homology, so must take cycles to boundaries. We will construct a lifting $\overline{D_n}: P_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}/B_{n+1}Q$ in this square. First of all, there is obviously a map

$$E_n: P_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}/B_{n+1}Q$$

such that $d_{n+1}E_n = \phi_n - D_{n-1}d_n$, simply because P_n is projective. Then

$$d_{n+1}(\overline{\phi_{n+1}} - E_nd_{n+1}) = d_{n+1}\overline{\phi_{n+1}} - \phi_nd_{n+1} + D_{n-1}d_nd_{n+1} = 0.$$

Hence $\overline{\phi_{n+1}} - E_nd_{n+1}$ is a map from $P_{n+1}/Z_{n+1}P$ to $H_{n+1}Q_*$. Since $H_{n+1}Q_*$ is FP-injective, there is a map $F_n: P_n \rightarrow H_{n+1}Q_*$ such that $F_nd_{n+1} = \overline{\phi_{n+1}} - E_nd_{n+1}$. Hence

$$\overline{D_n} = E_n + F_n: P_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}/B_{n+1}Q$$

defines a lift in our commutative square.

We now choose $D_n: P_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}$ lifting $\overline{D_n}$, which we can do because P_n is projective. Then one can easily check that $d_{n+1}D_n = \phi_n - D_{n-1}d_n$, and also, because $\overline{D_n}d_{n+1} = \overline{\phi_{n+1}}$, that $\phi_{n+1} - D_nd_{n+1}$ lands in $B_{n+1}Q$. This completes the induction step and the proof. \square

We can now prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose the generating hypothesis holds in $\mathcal{D}(R)$. In view of Theorem 2.5, we need only show that R has weak dimension at most 1. Since $\text{Tor}_*(-, M)$ commutes with direct limits, it suffices to show that the weak dimension of any finitely presented module is at most 1. Since any finitely presented module is a homology group of a perfect complex, it is enough to show that the cycles Z_nP and the boundaries B_nP are flat for all perfect complexes P and integers n . But Z_nP is itself a homology group of a perfect complex by Corollary 2.4, and so Theorem 2.5 implies that Z_nP is FP-injective. This means that the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_nP \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow B_{n-1}P \rightarrow 0$$

is pure. Now choose a left R -module M and apply $-\otimes_R M$ to this short exact sequence. By purity, it remains exact, and so the Tor long exact sequence shows that $\text{Tor}_1^R(B_{n-1}P, M) = 0$. Since M was arbitrary, $B_{n-1}P$ is flat. But then Z_nP , as a kernel of a surjection of flat modules, is also flat.

Conversely, assume R has global weak dimension at most 1 and all finitely presented R -modules are FP-injective. We need to show that an arbitrary homology group M of a perfect complex is FP-injective, by Theorem 2.5. By Proposition 2.3, there is a finitely presented module F and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow F/M \rightarrow 0,$$

where F/M embeds in a projective module. Since R has global weak dimension at most 1, F/M is flat. But then the above exact sequence is pure [Lam99, Theorem 4.85]. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(N, -)$ to this sequence we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, F/M) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, F) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

If N is finitely presented, though, the map $\text{Hom}_R(N, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, F/M)$ is surjective, since our original sequence is pure [Lam99, Theorem 4.89(5)]. By hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, F) = 0$, so we conclude that $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$. Thus M is FP-injective. \square

3. EXAMPLES AND COUNTEREXAMPLES

In this section, we give conditions under which rings that satisfy the generating hypothesis must be von Neumann regular, and also give an example of a ring that satisfies the generating hypothesis yet is not von Neumann regular, and thus does not satisfy the strong generating hypothesis.

Theorem 3.1. *A ring R is von Neumann regular if and only if the generating hypothesis holds in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and finitely generated flat submodules of projective right R -modules are projective.*

Proof. Assume that the generating hypothesis holds in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and finitely generated flat submodules of projectives are projective. We will show that all finitely presented modules, and hence all modules, are flat. Given a finitely presented module M , choose a perfect complex P with $M \cong H_n P$ for some n . We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_n P \rightarrow Z_n P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $B_n P$ is finitely generated and flat (since it is a submodule of P_n) by Theorem 2.1. By hypothesis, then, $B_n P$ is finitely generated projective. Hence $B_n P$ is FP-injective by Theorem 2.1 again, and so the above exact sequence splits. Thus M is a summand of $Z_n P$, which is flat as well, since it is also a submodule of P_n . So M is flat.

Conversely, if R is von Neumann regular, then any finitely generated submodule of a projective module is projective [Lam99, Example 2.32(d)]. \square

This immediately gives the following corollary, implicit in [Loc05].

Corollary 3.2. *A ring R is von Neumann regular if and only if R satisfies the generating hypothesis and is right coherent.*

Proof. If R is right coherent, then a finitely generated submodule of a projective module is finitely presented. If it is also flat, then it is projective. \square

There are a great many rings where finitely generated flat modules are known to be projective [PR04]. The following theorem contains some cases of this, which are somewhat less satisfactory since not all von Neumann regular rings satisfy the hypotheses.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose the generating hypothesis holds in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and one of the following hypotheses holds.*

- (1) *R is local (unique maximal right ideal).*
- (2) *R is semiperfect (every finitely generated module has a projective cover).*
- (3) *R is reduced (no nonzero nilpotents) and has finite uniform dimension (R contains no infinite direct sum of nonzero right ideals).*
- (4) *R has zero Jacobson radical and finite uniform dimension.*
- (5) *R is right nonsingular (the only element whose right annihilator is essential in R is 0) and has finite uniform dimension.*
- (6) *R is simple (no nontrivial two-sided ideals) and has finite uniform dimension.*

Then R is von Neumann regular.

Note that these conditions may not all be independent of each other. For example, the authors suspect that if R is both right FP-injective (as it must be if it satisfies the generating hypothesis) and has finite uniform dimension, then R may have to be semiperfect.

Proof. For a local, semiperfect, or right nonsingular ring with finite uniform dimension, every finitely generated flat module is projective; the local case is due to Endo and can be found in [Lam99, Theorem 4.38]. The semiperfect case is due to Bass and is [Lam99, Exercise 4.21]. The right nonsingular case is due to Sandomierski [San68, Corollary 1, p. 228]. Every reduced ring is right nonsingular by [Lam99, Lemma 7.8]; since the singular elements form a two-sided ideal, every simple ring is also right nonsingular [Lam99, Section 7A]. If R is FP-injective, or in fact only has $\text{Ext}^1(R/aR, R) = 0$ for all $a \in R$, then having zero Jacobson radical is equivalent to being right nonsingular, by [NY95, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Not every von Neumann regular ring has finite uniform dimension. They all, however, are right nonsingular [Lam99, Corollary 7.7]. This leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *A ring R is von Neumann regular if and only if it satisfies the generating hypothesis, is right nonsingular, and its maximal right ring of quotients Q is a flat left R -module.*

The maximal right ring of quotients of R is the endomorphism ring of the injective hull of R as a right R -module, and is much studied in ring theory. See [Lam99, Section 13] for an introduction. When R is right nonsingular, Q is just equal to the injective hull of R .

Proof. Sandomierski [San68, Theorem 2.9] proves that if R is right nonsingular and the maximal right ring of quotients Q is flat as a left R -module, then finitely generated flat submodules of free R -modules (and hence also of projective R -modules) are projective. Theorem 3.1 completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a ring S that satisfies the generating hypothesis but is not von Neumann regular.*

Of course, such a ring will not satisfy the strong generating hypothesis. Before proving this theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *Every principal right ideal of a ring R is flat if and only if whenever $ab = 0$ in R there is an $x \in R$ such that $ax = 0$ and $xb = b$.*

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{ann}_r a \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{a\cdot} aR \rightarrow 0.$$

By [Lam99, Theorem 4.23], aR is flat if and only if for every $b \in \text{ann}_r a$, there is a map $\theta: R \rightarrow \text{ann}_r(a)$ with $\theta(b) = b$. Translating, this means that aR is flat if and only if whenever $ab = 0$, there is an x such that $ax = 0$ and $xb = b$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *A ring R has global weak dimension ≤ 1 if and only if for every integer m and every pair of $m \times m$ matrices A, B over R with $AB = 0$, there is an $m \times m$ matrix X over R such that $AX = 0$ and $XB = B$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.6, the matrix condition of this lemma is equivalent to every principal right ideal of $M_m(R)$ being flat, for all $m \geq 1$. We will use the Morita equivalence between R and $M_m(R)$ to prove that this is equivalent to R having global weak dimension ≤ 1 . Indeed, if R has global weak dimension ≤ 1 , so does $M_m(R)$ [Lam99, p. 481], and so every ideal of $M_m(R)$ is flat.

Conversely, suppose every principal right ideal of $M_m(R)$ is flat for all $m \geq 1$. Suppose I is an m -generated right ideal of R . Then I corresponds under the Morita equivalence to a principal right ideal of $M_m(R)$ [Lam99, Remark 17.23(C)]. This principal ideal is flat, and so I is flat as well, since Morita equivalences preserve flatness [Lam99, p. 481].

Hence all finitely generated ideals of R are flat. Since Tor commutes with direct limits, all ideals of R are flat. But then R has weak dimension ≤ 1 [Lam99, Lemma 4.66]. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We will use the method of [PRZ95], who introduce and study indiscrete rings. For us, the salient property of indiscrete rings is that all finitely presented modules over an indiscrete ring are FP-injective [PRZ95, Theorem 2.4]. Thus, we must find an indiscrete ring that also has weak dimension one. The construction given in [PRZ95, p. 359] begins with a finite-dimensional algebra R of finite representation type over an infinite field F . Because we want to end up with something of weak dimension one, we will take R to have right (and left) global dimension 1. For example, we can take R to be the ring of 2×2 upper triangular matrices over F , which is a classical example of a ring of right (and left) global dimension 1 that is not von Neumann regular [Lam99, Example 2.36].

The method of [PRZ95] is then to construct a map $\tau: R \rightarrow M_n R$ and then let $S = R_\tau$ be the direct limit

$$S = R_\tau = \operatorname{colim}(R \xrightarrow{\tau} M_n R \xrightarrow{M_n \tau} M_{n^2} R \xrightarrow{M_{n^2} \tau} \dots)$$

Then Prest, Rothmaler, and Ziegler show that S is always indiscrete. Now, in our case, our ring R has global dimension 1, and therefore all of the $M_k R$ also have global dimension 1 since they are Morita equivalent to R . Now, if we take a pair of $m \times m$ matrices A, B over S with $AB = 0$, then we can choose k large enough such that A, B are actually matrices over $M_{n^k} R$, and $AB = 0$ as such matrices. Then Lemma 3.7 shows that there is a matrix X over $M_{n^k} R$, and hence over S , with $AX = A$ and $XB = 0$. Thus Lemma 3.7 implies that S has weak dimension ≤ 1 , and S cannot be von Neumann regular because R is not (see [PRZ95, p. 359]). \square

The indiscrete rings of [PRZ95], of which our counterexample S is one, have been generalized by Garkusha and Generalov [GG99] to the class of almost regular rings, in which all (left or right) finitely presented modules are FP-injective. The indiscrete rings are the simple almost regular rings.

We also note that the ring S of Theorem 3.5 is in fact **weakly semihereditary** in the sense of Cohn [Coh85, p. 13]. This means that if A and B are (not necessarily square) matrices such that $AB = 0$, then there is an idempotent matrix E such that $AE = A$ and $EB = 0$. Since hereditary implies weakly semihereditary, each $M_n(R)$ in the above proof is weakly semihereditary, and so the same argument shows that S is as well. One can then use (the left module version of) Lemma 3.7 to see that weakly semihereditary implies global weak dimension ≤ 1 .

We now turn to some questions we have not been able to answer. First of all, the stable homotopy category in topology is more like $\mathcal{D}(R)$ for a **graded** ring R (or, better yet, a differential graded algebra R), though, it must be stressed, these categories are still much simpler than the stable homotopy category. We have not considered the generating hypothesis for these R .

We could ask whether there is a ring R that satisfies the generating hypothesis for right R -modules but not left R -modules. Such a ring could not be von Neumann regular, of course.

Also, recall that there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence whose E_2 term is $\text{Ext}_R^{**}(H_*P, H_*Q)$ converging to $\mathcal{D}(R)(P, Q)_*$. It seems intuitively evident that for the strong generating hypothesis to hold, this spectral sequence must collapse to the 0-line for perfect complexes P and Q . This is in fact true, since in this case R is von Neumann regular, hence coherent, so the homology groups H_*P are finitely presented modules and therefore projective.

However, the situation for R satisfying the generating hypothesis but not the strong generating hypothesis is less clear. To satisfy the generating hypothesis, it must be that every element of $\text{Ext}^{s,*}(H_*P, H_*Q)$ with $s > 0$ does not survive the spectral sequence. But in order not to satisfy the strong generating hypothesis, there must be an element of $\text{Hom}^*(H_*P, H_*Q)$ for some perfect P and Q that supports a differential. It would be intriguing to understand how this happens.

Finally, one could define R to satisfy the **n -fold generating hypothesis** if whenever f_1, \dots, f_n are composable maps of perfect complexes such that $H_*(f_i) = 0$ for all i , then $f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1 = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(R)$. If we ask for this condition to hold for all n -tuples of composable maps with $H_*f_i = 0$, not just maps between perfect complexes, then the second author has shown in his thesis, using work of Christensen [Chr98], that R has projective dimension $\leq n$. One could then ask for an analogous characterization of rings R , probably in terms of weak dimension, that satisfy the n -fold generating hypothesis, or some strong version of the n -fold generating hypothesis.

REFERENCES

- [Chr98] J. Daniel Christensen, *Ideals in triangulated categories: phantoms, ghosts and skeleta*, Adv. Math. **136** (1998), no. 2, 284–339. MR [MR1626856](#) ([99g:18007](#))
- [Coh85] P. M. Cohn, *Free rings and their relations*, second ed., London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 19, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1985. MR [MR800091](#) ([87e:16006](#))
- [Fai99] Carl Faith, *Rings and things and a fine array of twentieth century associative algebra*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR [MR1657671](#) ([99j:01015](#))
- [Fre66] Peter Freyd, *Stable homotopy*, Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965), Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 121–172. MR [MR0211399](#) ([35 #2280](#))
- [GG99] G. A. Garkusha and A. I. Generalov, *Duality for categories of finitely presented modules*, Algebra i Analiz **11** (1999), no. 6, 139–152, translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **11** (2000), no. 6, 1051–1061. MR [MR1746072](#) ([2001a:16005](#))
- [Goo91] K. R. Goodearl, *von Neumann regular rings*, second ed., Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Malabar, FL, 1991. MR [MR1150975](#) ([93m:16006](#))
- [Hov] Mark Hovey, *On Freyd’s generating hypothesis*, to appear in Q. J. Math.
- [Lam99] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. MR [MR1653294](#) ([99i:16001](#))
- [Loc05] Keir Lockridge, *The generating hypothesis in the derived category of R -modules*, preprint, 2005.

- [NY95] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, *Principally injective rings*, J. Algebra **174** (1995), no. 1, 77–93. MR **MR1332860 (96i:16005)**
- [PR04] Gena Puninski and Philipp Rothmaler, *When every finitely generated flat module is projective*, J. Algebra **277** (2004), no. 2, 542–558. MR **MR2067618 (2005c:16004)**
- [PRZ95] Mike Prest, Philipp Rothmaler, and Martin Ziegler, *Absolutely pure and flat modules and “indiscrete” rings*, J. Algebra **174** (1995), no. 2, 349–372. MR **MR1334216 (96d:16002)**
- [San68] Francis L. Sandomierski, *Nonsingular rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 225–230. MR **MR0219568 (36 #2648)**
- [Ste70] Bo Stenström, *Coherent rings and FP -injective modules*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1970), 323–329. MR **MR0258888 (41 #3533)**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, MIDDLETOWN, CT 06459
E-mail address: `hovey@member.ams.org`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WA 98195
E-mail address: `lockridg@math.washington.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, BOOTH STREET EAST, MANCHESTER M13 9PL, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: `gpuninski@maths.man.ac.uk`